

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/902,572	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ASHKENAZI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Daniel M. Sullivan	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636	

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel M. Sullivan.

(2) Daphne Reddy.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 August 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding the failure to respond to the Office letter mailed 19 January 2005. In the course of the interview it was determined that the letter had been mailed to the wrong address as a consequence of the Office's failure to enter the change of address filed 27 February 2003. In view of this, the letter is being remailed with a new period for response..